

IHW/50162/RP



**CCEDS CHECKLIST FOR INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
PERMITS SECTION
ANNUAL GROUNDWATER DETECTION MONITORING REPORT**

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Waste Permits Division
January 2008

RECEIVED

MAY 15 2012

TCEQ
CENTRAL FILE ROOM

Use this checklist to document the review of annual groundwater detection monitoring reports. Forward the completed review to the Permit Application Review staff for entry into CCEDS.

Data Review/Approval

Date of Report: <i>January 2010</i>	Date Report Received: <i>Jan 27, 2010</i>
Reviewer: <i>Mary M. Talley</i>	Date Reviewed: <i>July 12, 2010</i>
Team Leader Approval: <i>[Signature]</i>	Approval Date: <i>7/14/10</i>
Data Entry By: <i>B Rogan</i>	Data Entry Date: <i>7/29/10</i>
Reviewer Entry Approval: <i>[Signature]</i>	Reviewer Entry Approval Date:
Final Entry Approval: <i>Collette Van</i>	Final Approval Date: <i>8/13/10</i>

Facility Information

Company Name:	<i>Chaparral Steel Milllothian, L.P.</i>
Permit Number:	<i>HW- 50162</i>
Customer Reference Number:	<i>CN 600128656</i>
Regulated Entity Number:	<i>RN 100216472</i>
Date of Review:	<i>July 12, 2010</i>
Location:	<i>Midlothian, Tx</i>

WST IHW/ REPORTS

1st: 50162 2nd: Vol: 001 7/12/2010

BBC: 100477369

IBC: 100477372



Review Results

Item No.	Regulatory Basis	Review Item	Compliant			Comment
			Yes	No	N/A	
1	30 TAC 335.163(10) and Prov. II.B.10	Was an annual groundwater monitoring report submitted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2	Prov. II.B.10	Was the report submitted by the required deadline?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

*843568
complete*

Review Results

Item No.	Regulatory Basis	Review Item	Compliant			Comment
			Yes	No	N/A	
3	30 TAC 335.164(7)(A) and Prov. VI.E.1.a	Did the facility provide the required 7-day notification to the TCEQ for any statistically significant increases (SSI) in groundwater parameters identified in the report? If there was no SSI, please check the N/A box.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
4	Prov. VI.G	Did the annual detection monitoring report include the required information?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4.1	Prov. VI.G.1	Did the report include a statement whether an SSI occurred over background values in any well during the previous calendar year period and the status of any SSI events?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4.2	Prov. VI.G.2	Did the permittee include the results of all monitoring, testing and analytical work obtained or prepared pursuant to the requirements of the permit, including a summary of background groundwater quality values, groundwater monitoring analyses, and statistical calculations, graphs and drawings?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4.2a		Is the laboratory that did the analysis an approved NELAP lab? NOTE: only for data analyzed after July 1, 2008.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4.3	Prov. VI.G.3	Did the report include the groundwater flow rate and direction in the uppermost aquifer? Did the permittee include in the report all documentation used to determine the groundwater flow rate and direction of the groundwater flow?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4.4	Prov. VI.G.4	Did the report include a contour map and piezometric water levels in the uppermost aquifer based at a minimum upon concurrent measurements in all monitor wells? Was all data or documentation used to establish the contour map included in the report?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	