TECHNICAL REVIEW: AIR PERMIT BY RULE

Permit No.:	155544	Company Name:	Space Exploration Technologies Corp.	APD Reviewer:	Donna Wurst
Project No.:	296290	Unit Name:	SpaceX Texas Launch Site Generator	PBR No(s).:	106.512

GENERAL INFORMATION										
Regulated Entity No.:	RN107697088	Project Type:	Permit by Rule Application							
Customer Reference No.:	CN602867657	Date Received by TCEQ:	February 8, 2019							
City/County:	Brownsville, Cameron County	Date Received by Reviewer:	February 21, 2019							
Physical Location:	1 Rocket Road									

CONTACT INFORMATION								
Responsible Official/ Primary Contact Name and Title:	Mr. Matthew Thompson Director, Environmental Health & Safety	Phone No.:	(310) 970-3611	Email:	matthew.thompson@s pacex.com			
Technical Contact/ Consultant Name and Title: Same as above								

GENERAL RULES CHECK	YES	NO	COMMENTS
Is confidential information included in the application?		х	
Has the PBR fee been paid?	х		Receipt #582EA000332436
Is this registration certified?	х		PI-7-CERT
Is this an APWL site?		х	
Are there any upstream or downstream affects associated with this registration?		х	
Is planned MSS included in the registration?		х	
Are there affected NSR or Title V authorizations for the project?		Х	
Is each PBR > 25/250 tpy?		х	
Are PBR sitewide emissions > 25/250 tpy?		Х	
Are there permit limits on using PBRs at the site?		Х	
Is PSD or Nonattainment netting required?		х	
Do NSPS, NESHAP, or MACT standards apply to this registration?	х		MACT ZZZZ, NSPS IIII
Does NOx Cap and Trade apply to this registration?		х	
Is the facility in compliance with all other applicable rules and regulations?	х		

DESCRIBE OVERALL PROCESS AT THE SITE

Space Exploration Technologies Corporation (SpaceX) owns and operates the SpaceX Texas Launch Site.

DESCRIBE PROJECT AND INVOLVED PROCESS

SpaceX submitted a Form PI-7-CERT to certify the emissions from a Caterpillar GS3516 Tier 4 diesel generator (EPN: GEN1) under 106.512.

SpaceX wishes to add an electric generating unit to provide additional charge to a Tesla battery bank which is primarily charged by a solar array. Additionally, the generator will occasionally be used during peak launch flow to supplement power to meet demand. The generator will be located in a remote location making access to the grid neither readily available nor economically feasible.

TECHNICAL SUMMARY - DESCRIBE HOW THE PROJECT MEETS THE RULES									
30 TAC §106.512 RULE CHECK									
REQUIREMENTS	Y, N, or n/a	OTHER / COMMENTS							
(1) Engine is registered with a PI-7-CERT within 10 days of the start of construction.	Y	Horsepower of engine = <u>790</u>							
(1) Table 29 was submitted for each proposed gas or liquid fuel-fired stationary internal combustion reciprocating engine.	Y								
(2) Any engines >500-hp will meet requirements of subparagraphs (A) - (C) of this paragraph.	Y								
(2)(A) Emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO _x) will not exceed the following limit:	Y	Actual NOx Emissions = 2.312 g/hp-hr							
(2)(A)(vi) 11.0 g/hp-hr for any compression-ignited liquid-fired engine.	Y								
(2)(B) The engine requires an automatic air-fuel ratio (AFR) controller in order to meet the NOx limits in subparagraph (2)(A).	N								

TECHNICAL REVIEW: AIR PERMIT BY RULE

Permit No.:	155544	Company Name:	Space Exploration Technologies Corp.	APD Reviewer:	Donna Wurst
Project No.:	296290	Unit Name:	SpaceX Texas Launch Site Generator	PBR No(s).:	106.512

TE						
IE	CHNICAL SUMMARY - DESCRIBE HOV					
	(2)(B) The engine requires an automatic to meet the following requirements:	air-fuel ratio (AFR) controller in order	N			
An AFR controller shall be deemed necessary for any engine controlled with a non-selective catalytic reduction (NSCR) converter and for applications where the fuel heating value varies more than \pm 50 British thermal unit/standard cubic feet from the design lower heating value of the fuel. If an NSCR converter is used to reduce NO _x , the automatic controller shall operate on exhaust oxygen control.						
	(2)(C) Records specified in (2)(C) of this the owner or operator for a period of at le request, to the commission and any local jurisdiction.	ast two years, made available, upon	Y			
	Any gas turbine rated greater than 500-hp oparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph		n/a	No turbine	25	
	Any engine or turbine rated less than 500 rposes is exempt from the emission limitat		n/a	Horsepower = <u>790</u> Temporary? <u>No</u>		
	Gas fuel will be limited to: sweet natural g ntaining ≤10 grains total sulfur per 100 dry		Y		el = <u>Liquid Fuel</u> itent of fuel gas: <u>0.0015</u> gr/100 dSCI	
	Compliance with National Ambient Air Que proposed facility has been demonstrated		Y	Method us	sed (A, B, or C)? <u>C</u>	
		oposed facilities on the property to the r kide plus NO ₂) will not exceed the most m any existing or proposed stack to the	restrictive of the	250 tpy or		
	Distance to nearest Property Line (D) (feet)	Allowable NOx Emission Rate (tpy) 0.3125 X D	Actual NOx (tp)		Is Actual Emission Rate less than Allowable Emissions Rate?	
	30	9.375	2.01		YES	
(7)	The engine or turbine will not be used to	generate electricity.	N			
(7) If NO to the above question, do any of the following apply?						

(7) If NO to the above question, do any of the following apply?
(A) The engines or turbines are used to provide power for the operation of facilities registered under the Air Quality Standard Permit for Concrete Batch Plants;
(B) The engines or turbines satisfy the conditions for facilities permitted by rule under Subchapter E of this title (relating to Aggregate and Pavement);
(C) The engines or turbines are used exclusively to provide power to electric pumps used for irrigating crops.
(D) The engine is for onsite use only and it is located where the electric grid is not readily available or where it is not economically feasible to connect to the electric grid.

COMM	COMMUNICATION LOG									
Date	Time	Name/Company	Subject of Communication							
3/4	3:41 pm	Rajiv Y. Patel, P.E., Managing Engineer (<i>Greenthink Consulting</i> , LLC)	 Email: "The narrative in the application states that the engine will be operated 2000 hours/year, however, the emissions were calculated at 1000 hours/year. Please explain. You demonstrated compliance with <u>annual</u> NO2 NAAQS using the 'property line distance' method, but the <u>1-hour</u> NO2 NAAQS standard can only be demonstrated by modeling. The new <u>1-hour</u> NO2 NAAQS of 188 ug/m3 and <u>annual</u> NO2 NAAQS of 100 ug/m3 are the standards that must be met. EPA screening models are available at: <u>http://www.epa.gov/scram001/dispersion_screening.htm</u>. You can use the 0.08 factor to convert one hour engine impacts to annual impacts before adding background. Don't forget to convert the NOx emissions to NO2 by the NO2/NOx ratio in 106.512(6)(A). You do not need to do respond with any additional information, but the company must be aware of the <u>1-hour</u> NO2 NAAQS." 							

TECHNICAL REVIEW: AIR PERMIT BY RULE

Permit	No.:	155544	Company Name:	Space Exploration Technologies Corp.	Donna Wurst	
Project	t No.: 2	296290	Unit Name:	SpaceX Texas Launch Site Generator	PBR No(s).:	106.512
COM	MUNICAT	ION LOG				
3/8	10:40	am Rajiv	/ Patel	 Email reply: "Sorry for the delay in getti The emissions based on 1,000 hours a is a typo. Please let me know if you ne Thanks for the note and guidance on th modeling records to demonstrate comp me to send anything, correct? For my own education in case I ever ne the requirements of 6(C) by using the p additional guidance put out stating that condition 6? (6) There will be no violations of any N area of the proposed facility. Compliant the following three methods: (A) (B) (C) the total emissions of NO_x (nitrog facilities on the property do not exceed (i) 250 tpy; (ii) the value (0.3125 D) tpy, where existing or proposed stack to the neare 	re correct. The 2,000 hours bed a revised process descrip the 1-hour NO2 NAAQS. We bliance with the 1-hour NO2 N bed to authorize under this Pl property distance line method screen modeling needs to be vational Ambient Air Quality S ce with this condition shall be gen oxide plus NO ₂) from all e the most restrictive of the foll D equals the shortest distance	ation write-up. will maintain screen NAQS. You do not need BR again, I thought I met . Is it implied or was e conducted to meet Standard (NAAQS) in the e demonstrated by one of existing and proposed lowing:
3/11	9:00 ai	m Rajiv	/ Patel	Spoke with consultant about NAAQS a modeling records to demonstrate comp	nnual and the new 1-hr. Com	

ESTIMATED EMISSIONS														
EPN / Emission Source	VC	C	NC	Dx	С	0	PI	N	PM10/	PM2.5	S	02		НСНО
									(in VO				VOC total)	
	lb/hr	tpy	lb/hr	tpy	lb/hr	tpy	lb/hr	tpy	lb/hr	tpy	lb/hr	tpy	lb/hr	tpy
GEN1: Generator 1	0.13	0.06	4.03	2.01	1.17	0.58	0.09	0.05	0.09	0.05	0.01	<0.01	0.02	0.01
TOTAL EMISSIONS (TPY):		0.06		2.01		0.58		0.05		0.05		<0.01		0.01
MAXIMUM OPERATING SCHEDULE (Hours/Year): 1000														

SITE REVIEW/DISTANCE LIMIT	Y	Ν	Description/Outcome	Date	Reviewed by
Site Review Required?		х		3/4	Ms. Donna Wurst
PBR Distance Limits Met?	х		30' to property line and 436' to receptor.	3/4	Ms. Donna Wurst

	TECHNICAL REVIEWER	PEER REVIEWER	FINAL REVIEWER
SIGNATURE:	Donna M. Wunst	Kristyn Campbell	Mark T-Meyer
PRINTED NAME:	Ms. Donna Wurst	Ms. Kristyn Campbell	Mr. Mark Meyer, Manager
DATE:	March 13, 2019	March 14, 2019	March 15, 2019